



CREDIBILITY OF ELECTORAL PROCESSES

jamesjimenez

02.08.21

CREDIBILITY UNDER ATTACK

- **WHY ATTACK COMELEC CREDIBILITY?**

- Losers need to justify their loss, without losing face
- Candidates need to pre-emptively save face
- Candidates resort to siege mentality to create a bandwagon
- As a form of distraction and misdirection
- Cynicism, hate

CREDIBILITY UNDER ATTACK

- **HOW IS COMELEC CREDIBILITY ATTACKED?**
 - Promote fear of the unknown
 - Conduct a long-term, low-intensity de-legitimization campaign
 - Incl. coordinated inauthentic behavior
 - Incl. use of celebrity mouthpieces
 - Amplify COMELEC's own mistakes

CREDIBILITY UNDER ATTACK

- **WHO IS ATTACKING COMELEC CREDIBILITY?**

- People who lose in elections
- People who claim to be motivated by altruism
- People who dislike (or even hate) COMELEC
- People who do it for money
- COMELEC itself, with its missteps

FIGHTING BACK

- **KEY STRATEGIES (T.R.A.P.)** ^{1/}

- **Transparency**

- Misinformation thrives in the absence of reliable, accurate, and relevant information
- Regular press briefings
 - #PalawanPleb – delivery of ballots to Kalayaan were delayed ...
- Live stream of key COMELEC activities
 - Incl. public biddings

FIGHTING BACK

- **KEY STRATEGIES (T.R.A.P.)** ^{2/}

- **Reporting**

- Not allowing misleading information to go unchallenged
- Using the reporting systems provided by socmed platforms

- **Acknowledge, assess, correct**

- We admit mistakes – yes, the 2016 ñ debacle was due to ...
- We commit to correction – yes, in 2019 we tightened things up...
- We follow-through – For 2022, we fine-tuned the process by ...

FIGHTING BACK

- **KEY STRATEGIES (T.R.A.P.)** ^{3/}

- Persistent repository of information
 - Deflates blowhards
 - Allows uniform and consistent, system-wide messaging
 - Encourages public participation and ownership

AskCOMELEC Facebook Group

ARE OUR ELECTIONS CREDIBLE?

- **YES**

- **In 2016**

- **Pulse Asia results**

- 84% trusted the election results

- 94% said the vote-counting machines were easy to use

- 91%, want automated voting in future elections

- "[Voters] feel that faster results made the elections more credible and it's also easier..."

- said Pulse Asia President Dr. Ronnie Holmes.

- **Social Weather Stations (SWS) results**

- An average of 86% of voters said the election results are believable

- 80% were satisfied with the conduct of the election

ARE OUR ELECTIONS CREDIBLE?

- **YES**

- **In 2019**

- **Pulse Asia results**

- 91% said they "would like to see automated voting continue in future elections"
- 87% said they "were satisfied with the automated polling system or counting of votes through the vote counting machine (VCM)"
- 94% said it was "easy to vote using the VCM"
- 94% said the May 2019 elections in their areas was "orderly"
- 64% said "there was no vote-buying" in their areas
- 74% said "no cheating occurred" in their areas
- 93% said "no violence occurred"

Where are the allies of truth?

- **LESSONS FROM THE AMERICAN 2020 EXPERIENCE**


- Losers follow a universal playbook
- Perpetuating a “big lie” can have effects that cross-over from the realm of rhetoric to real world actions that threaten the stability of government and, worse, human lives



Where are the allies of truth?

• LESSONS FROM THE AMERICAN 2020 EXPERIENCE

- Journalists play a big role
- Academics play a big role
- Ordinary people with social media platforms play a role
 - Not just as watchdogs looking out for irregularities in government, but also as watchdogs that actively fight against disinformation
 - Silence is not a lack of bias; silence is complicity

A blurred photograph of a busy street scene. In the foreground, a newspaper kiosk is visible with various newspapers on display. The background shows a crowd of people walking, their figures blurred due to motion. A red banner is overlaid across the middle of the image.

THANK YOU

jamesjimenez